ORIGINAL



LAWRENCE V. ROBERTSON, JR.

ARIZONA, COLORADO, MONTANA,

ADMITTED TO PRACTICE IN:

NEVADA, TEXAS, WYOMING, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

OF COUNSEL

JOHN F. MUNGER
MARK E. CHADWICK *
MICHAEL S. GREEN
KATHLEEN DELANEY WINGER
EVELYN PATRICK RICK **
LAURA P. CHIASSON
JAMES D.V. STEVENSON

* Also Admitted in Colorado ** Also Admitted in Washington State

MICHAEL M. RACY (NON-LAWYER) GOVERNMENT RELATIONS DIRECTOR DIRECT LINE: (520) 906-4646

MEREDITH LEYVA (NON -LAWYER) PUBLIC RELATIONS MANAGEMENT MUNGER CHADWROK, B.E. C.Y. E.D.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY NATIONAL BANK PLAZA

333 NORTH WILMON STILLER 17 A 10: 35

(520) 721-1900 FAX (520) 747-1550

MungerChadwigk.comCORP COMMISSIO MILLER, LA SOTA AND PETERS, P.L.C.
DOCUMENT CONTROL
PHOENIX, ARIZONA

PHOENIX APPOINTMENT ADDRESS: 5225 N. CENTRAL SUITE 235 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85012-1452 (602) 230-1850

OF COUNSEL
LIZÁRRAGA, ROBLES, TAPIA Y CABRERA
S.C.
HERMOSILLO, SONORA, MEXICO
(LICENSED SOLELY IN MEXICO)

February 13, 2004

Colleen Ryan, Supervisor Document Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007

Re:

03 Docket No. E-01345A-02-0437

In the matter of the Arizona Public Service Company's Application to determine fair value, fix rate of return, determine rates, and approve purchase power contract.

Dear Ms. Ryan:

Enclosed for filing in the above-captioned proceeding are the original and thirteen (13) copies of the Mesquite Power, L.L.C's Response to Motion to Amend Rate Case Procedural Order. Also enclosed are two additional copies to be conformed and returned to our office in the self-addressed stamped envelope.

Please let me know if you have any questions, and thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.

enclosures

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

FEB 1 7 2004

DOCKETED BY

ORIGINAL

COMMISSIONERS

MARC SPITZER, Chairman JIM IRVIN WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER MIKE GLEASON

RECEIVED

2004 FEB 17 A 10: 35

AZ CORP COMMISSION DUCUMENT CONTROL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF THE UTILITY PROPERTY OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN, AND FOR APPROVAL OF PURCHASED POWER CONTRACT

DOCKET NO. E-01345A-03-0437

RESPONSE TO MOTION TO AMEND RATE CASE PROCEDURAL ORDER

Mesquite Power, L.L.C. and Southwestern Power Group II, L.L.C. (Collectively "Mesquite/SWPG") hereby respond to Arizona Public Service Company's ("APS") February 6, 2004 Motion to Amend Rate Case Procedural Order ("Motion").

In the Motion, APS indicates that it is seeking the proposed three-week extension of all procedural dates in order that it may

> "... fully and completely address the additional evidentiary issues that have arisen since the original June 2003 filing." [Motion at page 6, lines 18-20] [emphasis added]

Elsewhere in the Motion, APS identifies those "additional" or "new issues" as issues which have been raised in the direct case testimony filed by the Commission's Utilities Division Staff and the Residential Utility Consumer Office [Motion at page 2, line 15-page 3, line 6 and page 3, Arizona Comporation Commission

DOCKETED

FEB 1 7 2004

DOCKETED BY

24

23

25

26

27

28

line 16 - page 5, line 2]; and the Chief Administrative Law Judge's December 19, 2003 Procedural Order which added the "preliminary inquiry" to this docket [Motion at page 5, lines 14-18]. In this regard, APS expressly states that the issue of rate-basing the PWEC assets is <u>not</u> the basis for its motion.

"Rather, it [i.e. the basis] is the magnitude and unexpectedly extreme nature of many of the other adjustments proposed in the testimony that warrant the additional time sought by the Company's Motion." [Motion at page 3, lines 11-16]

Against this background, Mesquite/ SWPG do not have any objection to the three-week extension of procedural dates requested by APS. However, they reserve the right to file a request for a further extension of the date for filing intervenor surrebuttal testimony, in the event that APS should raise new issues relating to the rate-basing of the PWEC assets as a part of its rebuttal testimony. In such event, Mesquite/ SWPG would probably recommend as members of the Arizona Power Competitive Alliance ("Alliance") that the Alliance file such a request.

Dated: February 13, 2004.

Respectfully submitted,

Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.

MUNGER CHADWICK, P.L.C.

Attorneys for Mesquite Power, L.L.C. and Southwestern Power Group II, L.L.C.

Original and 13 copies of the foregoing mailed this <u>13</u> day of February, 2004 to:

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Copies of the foregoing mailed, faxed or transmitted electronically this 3 day of February, 2004 to:

All parties of record